

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

TENTH FLOOR	54735 368 EXAMINER	85	
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE TENTH FLOOR	EXAMINER		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE TENTH FLOOR	EXAMINER	41.0	
6060 CENTER DRIVE TENTH FLOOR	EXAMINER		
TENTH FLOOR	WILLSE, DAVID H		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045	NIT PAPER NI	UMBER	
3738	8		
DATE MAILED:			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>. </u>							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\bigcap			
Office Antion Comments		09/777,274	MARCADE ET AL.	('M			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dave Willse	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u>	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67-72 and 74-81</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67-72 and 74-81</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prionty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
, , ,							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/777,274

Art Unit: 3738

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 67-72 and 74-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goicoechea et al., US 5,683,450. The embodiment of Figure 5 is designed for potential use as a sole implant, and thus the legs 58 and 60 are certainly configured to extend into bifurcated sections of the vasculature, as explained at column 11, line 52, through column 12, line 5. The "extender in the form of a graft" (present claim 67, line 7) is equated with one of the optional separate prostheses mentioned at column 11, line 66 et seq. Different lengths for the legs 58 and 60, a feature which was well known in the art at the time of the instant invention, would have been obvious in order to accommodate arterial anatomy and/or aneurysmal asymmetry, particularly in the absence of any criticality (in the Applicant's original disclosure) for the longer leg in combination with the extender as claimed (*In re Kuhle*, 188 USPQ 9).

7-2203

Application/Control Number: 09/777,274

Art Unit: 3738

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Attention is directed to Figures 17, 37, and 40, and to column 20, lines 1-8, of US 5,628,783.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, who is available Monday through Thursday and whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at (703) 308-2111. The receptionist's phone number is (703) 308-0858, and the main FAX numbers are (703) 305-3591, 3590.

dhw: D. Willse July 22, 2003 DAVE WILLSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3738 Page 3